



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Epidemic of Non-communicable Diseases in Jamaica: Monsters Awoken from Lifestyle Practices

Paul Andrew Bourne^{1*}, Cynthia Francis², Charlene Sharpe-Pryce³, Angela Hudson Davis⁴, Ikhalfani Solan⁵

¹Socio-Medical Research Institute, Jamaica;

²University of Technology, Jamaica;

³Chair, Department of History, Northern Caribbean University, Mandeville, Jamaica;

⁴Capella University, Minneapolis, MN 55402;

⁵Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, South Carolina State University

ARTICLE INFO

doi: 10.5455/ijmr.20141205032738

Keywords:

Cardiovascular diseases
Cerebrovascular diseases
Health indices,
Non-communicable diseases
Retirement aged cohort
Working aged cohort

*** Corresponding author:**

Socio-Medical Research Institute,
Jamaica
E-mail: paulbourne1@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The study was conducted to evaluate health indices of those in the retirement aged cohort and working aged cohort; to determine rates of comorbidities for those in the retirement aged and the working aged cohorts, compute the prevalence rate occurring to specific chronic non-communicable diseases and calculate death rates for those in retirement aged and the working aged cohorts.

Methods: Jamaica Survey of Living Conditions (JSLC) is a national cross-sectional probability survey that is conducted yearly by two governmental agencies in Jamaica. The current sample is 4,313 Jamaicans who are either in the working or retirement aged cohort from 2007 JSLC survey as well as death statistics from 2002-2008.

Results: In 2007, the prevalence rate of deaths that occur due to CNCs is 50.7% (male, 45.2%; female, 59.5%); 12% of those in the working aged population indicate having an illness compared to 43.2% of those in the retirement aged cohort; 23.7% of retirement aged cohort reported having diabetes compared to 15% of those in the working aged cohort, and 1.6 times more of those in the retirement aged cohort imply having hypertension than those in the working aged cohort. The rate of comorbidity is 20.6% (retirement aged cohort, 27.4%; working aged cohort, 15.6%). The prevalence rate of those with CNCs is 37.6% for those in the retirement aged cohort and 8.2% for those in the working aged cohort.

Conclusion: It is imperative that age, gender, area of residence specific and culturally relevant policies be developed in order to effectively address these health matters, without delay.

MedSci Publication All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Globally, chronic non-communicable diseases (CNCs) singly account for the majority of human

deaths inspite of mortality to crime and violence. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Secretary General, Ban Ki-moon, opine that

3 in every 5 death globally are caused by CNCDS [1, 2]. WHO reports that 80% of CNCDS from low-and middle income countries (the developing world) [1], implying that there is a global public health burden of CNCDS [3]. Then there is the postulation by WHO that age-specific mortality rates from chronic non-communicable diseases are greater in sub-Saharan Africa than in established market economies [4]. Like sub-Sahara Africa, the Caribbean region has the highest CNCDS in the Americas and 30 percent of all deaths in the region of the Americas are due to CNCDS [5], which indicates that there is public health as well as economic burden from CNCDS in Caribbean countries [5, 6]. The overall scenerio is not complete without the inclusion of females, older people, health indices and poverty.

It can be deduced from the statistics of the WHO that there is an association between poverty and chronic non-communicable diseases. This is more than a deduction as studies have empirically established the strong statistical correlation between poverty and illness [7, 8]. A question which needs to be asked is who are the poor? From the already established fact, using statistics from the WHO, approximately 60% of all deaths are caused by CNCDS, and on average of the 35 million annual mortality, 51.4% are female [1], the burden from CNCDS are clearly more prevalent in females from developing nations as well as older females. In Cameroon, although, less than 43% of deaths are due to CNCDS, the matter is still a female as well as old people phenomenon [9]. Studies have shown that 29% of CNCDS deaths in the low-to middle-income countries occurred among the working aged population (< 60 years) [5, 10]; but globally, it is 44% before 70 years old [5] and this describes a burden on the working aged population.

Of the ten leading causes of mortality in Jamaica, seven of them are non-communicable conditions such as diabetes, cancers, respiratory conditions, ischaemic heart diseases, hypertension, cerebrovascular, and other heart diseases [11]. As in Jamaica, it is evident in the wider Caribbean; the four leading causes of mortality are heart diseases, cancers, stroke and diabetes [12]. Deaths due to chronic non-communicable diseases are high in Jamaica as in other countries [1] such as Cameroon [9], South Africa [13], and United Kingdom [14]. In 2008, the region of the Americas [3] records 79% of all deaths occurring to CNCDS compared to 78% in low-to middle-income countries, and 22% in high income nations [3, 5] and in 2006, 65% of deaths are caused by CNCDS in the Caribbean [12]. According to Hospedales et al., people in the English-speaking Caribbean islands are mostly affected by CNCDS as in the Americas [5], and Wilks, et al. [15]; using Jamaicans ages 15-74 years, discovered that 45% of respondents have three primary chronic conditions - diabetes (7.9%), hypertension (25.2%) and high cholesterol (11.7). It is also reported that 35.3% of respondents were in the pre-hypertensive stage; 50.7%

are aware that they have hypertension; 76.1% know that they have diabetes and 14.0% are aware that they have high cholesterol, which speaks to the public health challenge in Jamaica like in the wider Caribbean [5, 12, 16 and 17]. These offer an insight into the burdens of lifestyle practices in the region.

Smith and Menah [18] postulated that CNCDS are owing to ageing, global marketing of tobacco and food, sedentary lifestyles and urbanization. It is well document that CNCDS is a health burden in the world, particularly in the Caribbean region. When CNCDS are disaggregated by gender and age, the individual burden are mostly experienced by females and elderly people. In 2010, Ban Ki-moon, United Nations Secretary-General, opines that CNCDS are the biggest threat to women's health worldwide, particularly during their most productive years [2]. The burden on CNCDS extends beyond the individual to the family, community, society, nation and the world because of their healthcare and productivity influence. Hence, a study on the health status of those with CNCDS among the elderly (60+ years) and the working aged cohorts would provide pertinent information for policy makers, researchers, health professionals and other peoples. The justifications for such as study are understanding health of two different cohorts, be knowledgeable of the disparities, recognize health matters and how they shift between the two cohorts. In a search of the literature, we did not find a single research that has examined health indices including non-communicable diseases of those in the working age and the retirement age cohorts. Particularly in the English-speaking Caribbean region with the highest prevalence of CNCDS in the Americas; such a study will provide critical information for policy intervention. It follows that any secrecy in a matter of such importance, especially because Jamaica is a member of the Caribbean region, that experiencing such a high prevalence of morbidity and mortality to CNCDS, retards the gains made in public health and life expectancy since the 1970s. As such, in this research, we seek to to evaluate health indices of those in the retirement aged cohort and working aged cohort; to determine rates of comorbidities for those in the retirement aged and the working aged cohorts, compute the prevalence rate occurring to particular chronic non-communicable diseases and calculate death rates for those in retirement aged and the working aged cohorts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Planning Institute of Jamaica (PIOJ) and the Statistical Institute of Jamaica (STATIN) are two governmental agencies that conduct annual national probability surveys called the Jamaica Survey of Living Conditions (JSLC), which seeks to guide policy formulations. The JSLC's cross-sectional descriptive surveys are collected using stratified random sampling techniques. It compiles data on

households' characteristics, health, education, expenditure, social programmes, and other information. The survey results are gathered by using a standardized instrument (i.e., questionnaire) that on average takes approximately 45 minutes to complete by each respondent. The JSLC is modelled from the World Bank's Living Standards Measurement Study (LSMS) household survey [19]. There are some modifications to the LSMS, as JSLC is more focused on policy impacts and therefore this is reflected in the collected data.

According to the JSLC [19], the sample is weighted to reflect the population of Jamaica. The households in the JSLC are interviewed on an annual basis for a period of up to four years, after which a new representative sampling frame is redesign and drawn. A detailed presentation of the sampling techniques are in other published works [20, 21]. The data are entered, stored and retrieved in the Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, Version 21.0. For this study, descriptive statistics are performed for the socio-demographic characteristics of the sample; the bivariate analyses are chi-square.

Data on mortality and deaths due to chronic conditions were used to compute prevalence rates. The data are taken from the 2009 Demographic Statistics published by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica [11]. The period for the data ranges from 2002 to 2008; with deaths occurring to CNCDS being from 2006 to 2008.

Definition of variables

Health: This is defined as the self-rated health status of an individual

Good health: Is a binary variable where 1 = at least good self-rated health status and 0 = otherwise.

Age: This is the total number of years lived since birth, measured from one birthday to the next

Retirement aged cohort: This is dependent on the gender of the individual. For females, the retirement age is 60 years and for males it is 65 years in Jamaica. These are used to ascertain those in the retirement aged cohort for this study. Hence, 60+ and 65+ years mark the retirement aged cohort for females and males in Jamaica, respectively.

Working aged cohort: This is determined based on the gender of the individual. In Jamaica, the working age for females is 18 to 60 years and for males, it is 18 to 65 years. Based on the legal regulations in Jamaica, the present study applies this to arrive with the working aged cohort (males, 18-65 years old; females, 18-60 years old).

Health-care Seeking Behavior (or visits to medical professional): This is derived from the question 'Have you sought medical attention in the last four weeks (using the survey period), where 1=yes and 0=otherwise.

Other NCDs: These include malignant neoplasms, ischaemic and other heart diseases, and high cholesterol.

Health Insurance Coverage: This is a binary measure, in which 1 denotes self-reported ownership of private and/or public health insurance coverage and 0 is otherwise.

Purchased prescribed medications: This is an individual reporting that he/she filled the prescription that he/she received on visit to the health care practitioner(s).

Health indices: For this paper, this concept is measured using illness (or self-reported illness), health-care seeking behavior (or health care utilization), health insurance coverage, and health insurance utilization.

RESULTS

Using data from the Statistical Institute [11], we calculate deaths from CNCDS, elderly (ages 60+ years old) and for the period 2002-2008, which show that between 47-51% (male, 43-46%; female, 55-60%) of deaths occur to CNCDS and at least 55% of total mortality (as high as 65%) occurring among those 60+ years old (male, 54-60%; female, 68-72%), as illustrated in Table 1.

Table 2 shows deaths and death rates of males and females in the working aged population for 2002-to-2008. Yearly, between 29 and 34 percent of those in the working aged population die and this is greater for males than females.

Table 3 represents information on deaths occurring to CNCDS of males and females for 2006-2008, and the prevalence rate of deaths occurring to CNCDS for each of the studied year disaggregated by gender. In 2006, the prevalence rate of deaths occurring due to CNCDS is 50.1% with a marginal increase in 2007 (to 50.7%) and slight decrease to 49.9% in 2008, which denotes that at least 50% of deaths in Jamaica for 2006-2008 are a result of CNCDS. On examination of Table 3, the majority of deaths to CNCDS are owing to cerebrovascular diseases followed by diabetes and cancers. There are specific causes of deaths due to CNCDS that are greater among females than for males such as cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes, and hypertension; while malignant neoplasm is a substantially a male condition.

Table 1: Deaths and death rates of males and females 60+ year old, 2002-2008

Males							
Age	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
60-64	514	529	531	567	573	539	558
65-69	727	630	616	658	651	685	703
70-74	844	816	913	975	934	862	642
75+	3242	3052	3163	3379	3158	3267	3186
Males, 60+ deaths	5327	5027	5223	5579	5316	5353	5089
Total males deaths	9581	9137	8917	9473	9210	9045	9234
Females							
Age	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
60-64	349	386	384	415	403	415	406
65-69	439	546	463	501	543	497	539
70-74	622	678	671	727	690	701	764
75+	3716	3931	3750	4061	3753	3749	3595
Females, 60+ deaths	5126	5541	5268	5704	5389	5362	5304
Total females deaths	7419	7911	7400	8079	7695	7654	7784
Total 60+ deaths	10453	10568	10491	11283	10705	10715	10393
Population deaths	17000	17048	16317	17552	19906	16702	17018
60+ death rate (per 100 deaths)	61.49	61.99	64.29	64.28	53.78	64.15	61.07
60+ Male death (per 100 deaths)	55.60	55.02	58.57	58.89	57.72	59.18	55.11
60+ Female death (per 100 deaths)	69.09	70.04	71.19	70.60	70.03	70.05	68.14

Computed by Paul Andrew Bourne from the 2010 Demographic Statistics by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica

Table 2: Deaths and death rates of males and females in the working aged population, 2002-2008

Males							
Age	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
15-19	219	181	182	195	171	158	176
20-24	485	393	378	405	365	285	333
25-29	465	455	373	398	377	356	383
30-34	398	375	363	387	408	345	408
35-39	395	393	328	351	389	343	381
40-44	327	352	347	371	365	313	329
45-49	366	366	309	330	335	363	305
50-54	401	375	359	383	360	344	333
55-59	435	427	372	397	413	436	453
60-64	514	529	531	567	573	539	558
Death of males, ages 15-64 years old	4005	3846	3542	3784	3756	3482	3659
Total male deaths	9581	9137	8917	9473	9210	9045	9234
Females							
Age	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
15-19	72	65	49	53	65	55	86
20-24	97	106	94	101	98	92	113
25-29	125	114	140	152	136	135	171
30-34	129	167	157	169	143	172	173
35-39	162	178	202	218	198	192	200
40-44	253	250	209	227	248	249	232
45-49	269	264	247	268	262	210	234
50-54	302	322	234	254	255	278	266
55-59	322	312	252	273	272	286	317
Death of females, ages 15-64 years old	1659	1778	1584	1715	1677	1669	1792
Total females deaths	7419	7911	7400	8079	7695	7654	7784
Total deaths, working aged population	5664	5624	5126	5499	5433	5151	5451
Population deaths	17000	17048	16317	17552	19906	16702	17018
¹ Death rate for working population (in %)	33.32	32.99	31.42	31.33	27.29	30.84	32.03
² Death rate, Male working population (in %)	41.80	42.09	39.72	39.95	40.78	38.50	39.63
³ Death rate, Female working population (in %)	22.36	22.48	21.41	21.23	21.79	21.81	23.02

¹⁻³Computed by Paul Andrew Bourne from the 2010 Demographic Statistics by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica

Table 3: Top ten cause of death and Prevalence rate for 2006-2008 by gender

Cause of death	2008				2007				2006			
	Males	Females	Total	CSPR ¹	Males	Females	Total	CSPR	Males	Females	Total	CSPR
Cerebrovascular	873	1135	2008	12.27	878	1131	2009	12.09	772	969	1741	11.36
Diabetes	630	1079	1709	10.44	671	1017	1688	10.16	633	1063	1696	11.07
Ischaemic Heart	476	500	976	5.96	543	549	1092	6.57	511	521	1032	6.74
Hypertension	449	588	1037	6.33	436	631	1067	6.42	393	514	907	5.92
Chronic Respiratory	337		337	2.06	336		336	2.02	310		310	2.02
Other Heart Diseases	332	347	679	4.15	352	363	715	4.30	335	309	644	4.20
Cancers	876	551	1427	8.72	941	581	1522	9.16	857	491	1348	8.80
Total deaths to CNCDS	3973	4200	8173	49.92	4157	4272	8429	50.73	3811	3867	7678	50.11
Population, deaths	8945	7362	16371		9188	7180	16614		8479	6841	15321	
Prevalence rate	44.42	57.05	49.92		45.24	59.50	50.73		44.95	56.53	50.11	

¹CSPR denotes Chronic-specific diseases prevalence rate and the prevalence rate are computed by the authors
 Source: Demographic Statistics, 2009 published by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica

Table 4: Sociodemographic characteristics of sampled population, (n = 4313)

Details	Retired Population (N= 715) (%)	Working aged population (N = 3 598) (%)
Sex		
Males	276 (38.9)	1 774 (49.3)
Females	439 (61.4)	1 824 (50.7)
Marital Status		
Married	263 (37.6)	815 (23.3)
Single	207 (29.6)	2 562 (73.2)
Divorced	24 (3.4)	54 (1.5)
Separated	12 (1.7)	28 (0.8)
Widowed	193 (27.6)	39 (1.2)
Social Assistance		
Yes	115 (16.4)	43 (1.2)
No	588 (83.6)	3 461 (98.8)
Head of household		
No	238 (33.3)	2 047 (56.9)
Yes	477 (66.7)	1 551 (43.1)
Income Quintile		
1	148 (20.7)	572 (15.9)
2	126 (17.6)	661 (18.4)
3	154 (21.5)	706 (19.6)
4	132 (18.5)	755 (21.0)
5	155 (21.7)	904 (25.1)
Area of residence		
Urban	202 (28.3)	1 129 (31.4)
Peri Urban	151 (21.1)	811 (22.5)
Rural	362 (50.6)	1 658 (46.1)

Table 4 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the sampled population. dwell in rural areas. The percent of those below the poverty line (income quintile =1) is greater among the retirement aged cohort (20.7) than for those in the working aged cohort (15.9); disparity was also observed in the marital status categorization; social assistance; head of household, and gender of respondents.

Table 5: Health Indices of the sampled Population, n = 4 313

Details	Retirement aged cohort (N= 715)(%)	Working aged cohort (N = 3 598)(%)
Self-reported Illness		
Yes	303 (43.2)	409 (11.7)
No	399 (56.8)	309 (88.3)
Typology of Conditions		
<i>Communicable</i>		
Influenza, diarrhoea, etc	27 (9.1)	76 (20.5)
<i>Chronic non-communicable</i>		
Diabetes	70 (23.7)	54 (14.5)
Hypertension	120 (40.5)	91 (24.9)
Athritis	35 (11.8)	21 (5.7)
Other	44 (14.9)	129 (34.4)
Health-Care Seeking Behavior		
Yes	219 (71.3)	282 (66.5)
No	88 (28.7)	142 (33.5)
Self-reported Health		
Very good	61 (8.7)	1 288 (37.0)
Good	209 (29.7)	1 651 (47.4)
Fair	278 (39.5)	423 (12.1)
Poor	125 (17.8)	106 (3.0)
Very poor	30 (4.3)	16 (0.5)
Health Facility Utilization		
Private	86 (78.8)	125 (85.6)
Public	23 (21.1)	21 (14.4)
Health Insurance Coverage		
No	492 (70.7)	2 710 (78.3)
Yes	204 (29.3)	751 (21.7)
Purchased Medications by		
Attended Private Facility	197 (80.8)	253 (83.0)
Attended Public Facility	303 (43.2)	409 (11.7)

Table 5 details information on health indices for the sampled populations. Among those in the working aged cohort, at least good health status is 84.4% compare to 38.4% for those in the retirement aged

cohort. Four times more of those in the retirement aged cohort report having an illness compare to those in the working aged cohort.

Table 6: Comorbidity for the Sampled Population (Retirement and Working Aged Cohorts), n = 147

Details	Typology of Illnesses			
	Diabetes (%)	Hypertension (%)	Arthritis (%)	Other (%)
Retirement aged Cohort¹				
Diabetes	-	27 (64.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)
Hypertension	22 (68.7)	-	4 (66.7)	0 (0.0)
Arthritis	4 (12.5)	5 (11.9)	-	1 (50.0)
Other	6 (18.8)	10 (23.8)	2 (33.3)	-
Working aged Cohort²				
Diabetes	-	1 (25.0)	1 (33.3)	4 (13.8)
Hypertension	1 (14.3)	-	1 (33.3)	3 (10.3)
Arthritis	1 (14.3)	1 (25.0)	-	22 (75.9)
Other	5 (71.4)	2 (50.0)	1 (33.4)	-

¹ $\chi^2 = 89.910, P < 0.0001$; ² $\chi^2 = 51.282, P = 0.001$

Table 7: Cross tabulation of Chronic conditions and Gender as well as Area of Residence

Detail	Gender ^{a,b}		Area of residence ^{c,d}		
	Male (%)	Female (%)	Urban (%)	Peri Urban (%)	Rural (%)
Retirement Aged Cohort					
<i>Chronic Conditions</i>					
Diabetes	14 (14.7)	40 (20.2)	24 (33.8)	11 (20.4)	35 (22.3)
Hypertension	22 (23.4)	69 (34.8)	24 (33.8)	22 (40.7)	44 (28.0)
Arthritis	6 (6.3)	15 (7.6)	8 (11.3)	5 (9.3)	8 (5.1)
Other	53 (55.8)	74 (37.4)	15 (21.1)	16 (29.6)	70 (44.6)
Working Aged Cohort					
<i>Chronic Conditions</i>					
Diabetes	17 (18.5)	53 (29.9)	8 (9.8)	16 (30.8)	30 (20.5)
Hypertension	36 (39.1)	84 (47.5)	25 (30.5)	22 (42.3)	74 (50.7)
Arthritis	18 (19.6)	17 (9.6)	8 (9.8)	5 (9.6)	22 (15.1)
Other	21 (22.8)	23 (13.0)	41 (50.0)	9 (17.3)	20 (13.7)

Note:

^a denotes the cross tabulation between gender and chronic conditions for those in the retirement aged cohort- $\chi^2 = 12.192, P = 0.007$

^b denotes the cross tabulation between gender and chronic conditions for those in the working aged cohort- $\chi^2 = 9.031, P = 0.029$

^c denotes the cross tabulation between area of residence and chronic conditions for those in the retirement aged cohort- $\chi^2 = 9.661, P = 0.040$

^d denotes the cross tabulation between area of residence and chronic conditions for those in the working aged cohort- $\chi^2 = 12.847, P = 0.046$

Five percent more of those in the retirement aged cohort sought medical care compare to those in the working aged cohort. The prevalence rate of CNCs among those in the working aged cohort is 8.2% (i.e., $295/3598 \times 100$) compare to 37.6% for those in the retirement aged cohort ($269/715 \times 100$). Two times the number of people in the retirement aged cohort have diabetes compared to those in the working aged cohort, which is the same for those with hypertension and arthritis.

Table 6 presents comorbidity of the sampled populations. Among the retirement aged cohort, 68.7% of those with diabetes report having hypertension which is 14.3% for those in the working aged cohort. Sixty-four and three tenths percent of the hypertensive in the retirement age cohort report

having diabetes compare to 25% of those in the working aged cohort. Statistical correlations emerge between comorbidities ($P < 0.05$). A computation can be made here of the prevalence rate of comorbidity in each cohort categorization as well as overall for this study. The comorbidity rate for the studied sample is 20.6% ($147/712 \times 100$), which is 27.4% for the retirement aged cohort ($83/303 \times 100$) and 15.6% for those in the working aged cohort ($64/409 \times 100$).

Table 7 presents cross tabulation of chronic condition and gender as well as chronic conditions and area of residence. More females have diabetes (20.2%) than males (14.7%) in the retirement aged cohort, which is also the same for those with hypertension (males, 23.4%; females, 34.8%) and

arthritis (males, 6.3%; females, 7.6%). However, more males report having other chronic conditions (55.8%) compared to females (37.4%), $\chi^2 = 12.192$, $P = 0.007$. Females are more likely to report having diabetes (29.9%) than males (18.5%) for those in the working aged cohort as well as being hypertensive (males, 39.1%; females, 47.5%), which is similarly the case among those in the retirement aged cohort. However, the difference occurred between the genders with arthritis for the two cohorts. For those in the working aged cohort, more males are shown to have arthritis (19.6%) than females (9.6%), which is reverse among those in the retirement aged cohort. Rural residents are more likely to have diabetes than those who dwell in other areas, while peri-urban dwellers are more likely to have hypertension and urban residents are more likely to have other chronic conditions as well as arthritis among those in the retirement aged cohort. This is different for those in the working aged population as urban residents are more likely to have diabetes, rural dwellers to have hypertension and arthritis and urban residents to have other chronic conditions.

Table 8 shows a cross tabulation between chronic conditions and gender for two aged cohorts (retirement and working). In each cohort, there is a feminization of chronic non-communicable diseases. Sixty-eight and four tenths percent of those with chronic conditions are females and 31.6 percent males of those in the retirement aged cohort compared to 74.7 percent females and 25.3 percent males of those in the working aged cohort.

Table 8: Cross tabulation of Chronic conditions and Gender as well as Area of Residence

Gender	Chronic Conditions in Retirement Aged Cohort ^a		Chronic Conditions in Working Aged Cohort ^b	
	No (%)	Yes (%)	No (%)	Yes (%)
Male	36 (50.7)	7 (31.6)	88 (43.3)	42 (25.3)
Female	35 (49.3)	154 (68.4)	115 (56.7)	124 (74.7)

^a $\chi^2 = 8.574$, $P = 0.003$; ^b $\chi^2 = 13.037$, $P < 0.0001$

DISCUSSION

It is well established in the literature that chronic non-communicable diseases (CNCDS) are the leading cause of mortality in the world. The World Health Organization (WHO) found that 60% of global deaths are caused by CNCDS and that 80% of these deaths occur in low-to middle-income countries [1]. Generally, these human deaths are due to CNCDS and are greater among females and aged people [1,2], indicating the feminization of CNCDS and the high prevalence of mortality among elderly people because of CNCDS. According to Hospedales et al. [5], in 2008, 63 in every 100 deaths in the world are due to CNCDS and that 44% occur before 70 years. For the same year, the present findings reveal that

50% of total deaths in Jamaica occur to CNCDS (males, 44%; females, 57%) and that 62% of all deaths in Jamaica can be ascribed to people 60+ years old (males, 56%; females, 69%). This study concurs with the literature that deaths are mostly due to CNCDS, particularly among elderly people and females. It can be concluded, therefore, that a small percent of human deaths, due to CNCDS, happen before 60 years, and that lifestyle practices account for the high prevalence of deaths for those 60+ years old. Smith and Menah [18] attributed deaths, because of CNCDS, to urbanization, sedentary lifestyle, global marketing of tobacco and food, and population aging. Shao [22] opines that 16% of global deaths, resulting from CNCDS, occur before 60 years and that 42% of deaths, due to CNCDS, occur in low-to middle-income countries and this emphasizes the age disparity in those conditions, which is also the case in Jamaica. However, for this study, we broaden the discourse on CNCDS and these will be discussed hereafter.

The present study shows that mortality in Jamaica for the periods 2002-2008 is mostly among people 60+ years old (between 52-65%), especially females (males, 54-60%; females, 67-72%) and that CNCDS account for between 44 and 52% for 2006-2008. Using data for 2008 for Jamaica, we can compute the probability of deaths due to CNCDS for the elderly. The probability of deaths caused by CNCDS for those 60+ years old is 0.307 or 30.7% (0.499 x 0.615), which is relatively close to the percent of those 60+ years old who die because of CNCDS (42%) in low-to middle income countries [22]. With the absence of data on causes of deaths disaggregated by age this research is unable to compare for Jamaica against the Americas. However, Hospedales, et al. [5] offers some general information on age cohort of those dying from CNCDS in the Americas, which can be extrapolated for Jamaica. They opine that 30 in every 100 deaths in the Americas are caused by CNCDS before the age of 70 years and 29 in every 100 deaths due to CNCDS are among those in the working aged cohort (< 60 years). The deaths statistics of the current research as well as those forward by Hospedales, et al. [5] support ageing and female phenomena of non-communicable conditions. While these statistics provide information on the general profile of those with CNCDS and mortality statistics, there are many gaps herein that are clarified by this study.

In this study, the prevalence rate of having CNCDS among those in the retirement aged cohort is 37.6%, which is 4.6 times more than that for those in the working aged cohort. Other disparities in CNCDS also appear as it relates to prevalence of comorbidity between the two aforementioned groups of people. The prevalence of comorbidity is 27.4% for those in the retirement aged cohorts compared to 15.6% for those in the working aged cohort. Embedded in these findings are the substantial rise in CNCDS after 60+ years, which concurs with the literature [5, 22].

Unlike the literature, this research provides more information on health indices between the two cohorts. This research reveals that 43.2% of those in the retirement aged cohort report having an illness compared to 11.7% of those in the working aged cohort. The disparity continues as 23.6% of those in the retirement aged cohort report having diabetes compared to 14.6% of those in the working aged cohort, the disparity was even wider for hypertension, 40.5% and 24.7% for those in the retirement and working aged cohorts, respectively. The poor health status of elderly cohort is captured in the aforementioned information and reinforced by self-reported health status findings. Eighty four out of every 100 of those in the working aged cohort indicate having at least good health compared to 38/100 of those in the retirement aged cohort. Bourne and Charles [22] provide understanding of those with hypertension that can be used to extrapolate other non-communicable conditions. They discovered that those with hypertension report a lower health status than those with hypertension and that hypertension is greater among females than males. We can extract from Bourne and Charles' work [22] that having a chronic non-communicable condition decreases an individual health status compared to those without. This is supported by the present study as the overall quality of life of the elderly are lower than those in the working aged cohort (< 60 years) and this must be taken within the context that CNCs is an elderly phenomenon.

Poor health status significantly increases after 60 years and so are CNCs. It should come as no surprise that there is a wide disparity in prevalence rate in CNCs between those in the retirement and working aged cohorts. Smith and Menah [18] offers explanation for the rise in CNCs as attributing to rapid urbanization, sedentary lifestyles, global marketing of tobacco and food, and population aging [18], which is equally expressed by other scholars and/or agencies [4, 23-26]. We can deduce from Smith and Menah's work that poor lifestyle practices before 60+ become monsters in the latter years of life, and that these can provide an explanation for health disparities (health status, illness, chronic non-communicable diseases) in the current study between the two cohorts. Among Smith and Menah's [18] rationale for the increase in CNCs is population ageing or biological ageing. Studies have shown that there is a health transition that occurs with ageing at older ages (60+ years) [27, 28] as the human cell degenerates toward death [29, 30]. This, therefore, offers an explanation for increased mortality at 60+ years and CNCs that afflict the human body at older ages.

According to Gavrilov and Gavrilova [29, 30], using Gompertz's law, there is a fundamental quantitative theory of ageing and mortality of certain species (the examples here are as follows – humans, human lice, rats mice, fruit flies, and flour beetles). Gompertz's law went further to establish that human

mortality increases two-fold with every 8 years of an adult life, which means that ageing increases in geometric progression. This phenomenon means that human mortality increases with age of the human adult, but that this becomes less progressive in advanced ageing. Thus, biological ageing is a process where the human cells degenerate with years (i.e. the cells die with increasing in age), which is explored in evolutionary biology [31-33]. This explains the substantial health disparity in self-reported illnesses between those in the retirement and working aged cohort of the present work. Bogue's perspective offers even more information on the ageing process, when he claims that functionality declines even greater at different stages of the ageing process as well as the increase in health conditions [28].

In Jamaica about 50% of all deaths are caused by CNCs which is more than that in Cameroon (43%) [9], while this is significantly less than the figure for the Americas (79%) [25] and the world (63%) [3], these are a burden to the society. The positive of the overall prevalence is somewhat reduced when CNCs are disaggregated into typology of conditions. In 2008, the WHO finds that 4% of total human deaths in the world are caused by diabetes, which is 10.4% based on the present study in Jamaica and this is similar to the figure in the Eastern Caribbean, 10.0% [16]. While this research reveals that only 6.6% of total deaths in Jamaica are caused by hypertension, it is substantially higher than the 16.6% in the Eastern Caribbean countries [16]. Even though the present study finds that it is only 38 out of every 100 of those in the retirement aged cohort have a CNC and 8/100 of those in the working aged cohort, this disparity, is a cause for concern as there is no denial that poor early lifestyle practices and inactivity before 60 years is significantly eroding the quality of life of those 60+ years old. Hence, what of multi chronic conditions?

This takes the discussion to the issue of comorbidities. In South Africa, the prevalence of comorbidity (≥ 2 chronic conditions) is 22.5% [13] compared to 20.6% in the current study. Unlike the study in South Africa [13], in this work it is disaggregated by two cohorts (retirement and working aged). The prevalence rate of comorbidity in Jamaica among those in the retirement aged cohort is 27.4%, which is 1.8 times more than that for those in the working aged cohort. The reality is, those in the retirement aged cohort are more likely to have multimorbidities compared to those in the working aged cohort, and that comorbidity between the two cohorts are also different. Sixty-eight and seven tenths percent of diabetics in the retirement aged cohort have hypertension and this is 14.3% for those in the working aged cohort. Among the hypertension that are in the retirement aged cohort, 64.3% of them have diabetes, while it is 25% for those in the working aged cohort and there was a disparity in the arthritic patients (retirement aged cohort, 66.7%;

working aged cohort, 33.3%). These disparities in comorbidity in chronic conditions between the two cohorts are indications of epidemiological transition in CNCs as people before age, especially 60+ years old. Like the Population Reference Bureau indicates that unhealthy lifestyle practices, physical inactivity, tobacco and excessive alcohol consumption account for most of the cases of CNCs [35], we can further argue that those issues before 60 years later affect the individual post 60 years. Simply put, the high risk factors < 60 years explain the greater prevalence of morbidity, especially CNCs, among those 60+ years, women and this increases with more exposure to many the risk factors.

With the English-speaking Caribbean being the most affected by CNCs [5] and the current findings indicating that there is wide gap between these conditions including comorbidity, the issue as to why must be examined. Puska provides an explanation of epidemic of CNCs in the Caribbean regions, including Jamaica, aptly this way that "It can only be speculated, why Western countries so far have neglected NCDs in their international health work. It is certainly partly due to inertia in change and to wrong myths and lack of knowledge of the true situation" [36], which cannot be downplayed and/or overlooked in a health discourse on CNCs in the Caribbean region. Hunter and Reddy [37] outlined that addressing the prevalence of CNCs must be addressed by the government, clinicians and the health care system. They charged that the government should implement "Anti-tobacco policy; policies that promote reduction in salt intake; regulation and labeling of processed foods and high-sugar beverages; planning for safe, healthy environments that promote physical activity and limit the transition to a sedentary lifestyle; policies designed to mitigate the harmful effects of alcoholic beverages" [37]. The lack of robust policies to address these public health issue result in the Caribbean region, particularly Jamaica lagging behind other countries. In fact, in was in 2013 that Jamaica introduced a national anti-tobacco policy; however, those matters that are forwarded by Hunter and Reddy have not yet been implemented, fashioned and framed in an effort to address the epidemic of CNCs.

Policies to address CNCs, especially developing in nations with the greater prevalence of CNCs, must target females as they, generally, are more affected by chronic conditions than males [2], which is equally the same in Jamaica, South Africa [34] and the rest of the world [1-3]. In this study, at least 68 percent of males and females suffer from chronic non-communicable diseases whether they are in the retirement or working aged cohort. This further justifies the rationale for higher prevalence of deaths in females due to CNCs in Jamaica. In 2007, 60 percent of females die from CNCs compared to 45 percent of males and this is within the context that either 68.4 percent of females in the retirement aged cohort have CNCs compared to 31% of males. We

can forward that the disparity in prevalence rate of males and females having CNCs account for the difference in deaths occurring to these conditions. While the prevalence of deaths among females caused by CNCs is 57 percent in Jamaica compared to 65 percent in the world [3], there is no doubt that chronic conditions continue to reduce the quality of life of females and by extension their children. This perspective is embedded in the current findings that show that 3 in every 4 women in the working aged cohort have at least a CNC and many of these women are in their productive and reproductive years.

Not only is there an overall feminization of chronic conditions in Jamaica like the rest of the world, particularly chronic conditions reflect a gendered phenomenon. Like in Jamaica, diabetes is a feminized phenomenon in Netherlands [38], Eastern Caribbean countries [16], and by extension the world [3]; but in this study it was discovered that more females in the working aged cohort have diabetes (30%) compared to those in the retirement aged cohort. The issue of hypertension being a feminized disease is the same across the globe [24] as well as in Jamaica. This study adds to the literature by showing that women in working aged cohort are more likely to have hypertension (48%) than those in the retirement aged cohort (35%). With the aforementioned issues along with the high probability of reporting either diabetes or hypertension in Jamaicans, irrespective of whether they are in the retirement aged or working aged cohort, we concur with the literature that these are twin problems in Jamaica as well as the wider Caribbean [39, 40]. However, where is difference emerged in this study and that of the literature is the comorbidity rate between diabetes and hypertension. According to Callender [39] fifty percent of Caribbean nationals with hypertension have diabetes and that in this study the rate is sixty-four or twenty-five percent depending on whether the people are in the retirement or the working aged cohort, respectively.

The disparity in CNCs are not limited to gender, aged cohort and comorbidity, it extends to area of residence within the aged cohort. According to Wan, et al. [24] the prevalence rate of hypertension is greater in urban than in rural dwellers except in Mexico where the reverse is case. In the present study, hypertension plays a dual role among retirement and working aged respondents. Among the working aged cohort, rural dwellers are more likely to be hypertensive and among those in the retirement aged cohort, peri-urban residents have the greatest prevalence rate of being hypertensive. With at least 50% of Jamaicans (60+ years) dwelling in rural areas and the present findings which show that 71.2 percent of diabetics and hypertensive reside in rural areas among the working aged cohort and 50.3 percent of diabetics and hypertensive dwell in rural areas among the retirement aged cohort, we are forwarding that policies must be framed in keeping

with the realities in Jamaica and not be based on general information of chronic conditions in the Caribbean region, Latin America and the Caribbean, the Americas or the world. The rationale for this is embedded in the disparities that emerge in this work compared to the literature in other parts of the globe. Baldwin, et al. [41] opined that “Compared to other developing regions, LAC has the highest percentage of deaths due to NCDs and trails closely behind the group of high-income countries” and Hospedales, et al. [5] that the Caribbean region has the most deaths owing to CNCDS, these aptly capture the urgency to address CNCDS epidemic as failure to act will continue to erode quality of life, production, and productivity of peoples in region. The health disparity between the Caribbean region, world, developing nations and industrial world are due to the absence of policies, programmes and highly efficient public health care delivery system [42], which also holds true in Jamaica.

Smith and Menah [18] opine that urbanization is among the reasons for CNCDS. The issue of urbanization, which according to the literature account for CNCDS, cannot be ascribed to fully explain what is occurring in Jamaica as it relates to CNCDS [18]. The rationale we forward of aforementioned perspective is levied in the disparities in CNCDS among two cohorts, with respect to area of residency. Hypertension in Jamaica among those in the working aged cohort is a rural phenomenon compared to being a peri-urban phenomenon among the retirement aged cohort. Like hypertension, the diabetics differ based on area of residence between the two cohorts. Urbanization can be ascribed to explain diabetes among the working aged population; but this is not so for all the cases among those in the retirement aged cohort (diabetes: retirement aged cohort, urban area, 22.3%; working aged cohort, 33.8%). Similar CNCDS area of residence disparity emerges for those with arthritis and other CNCDS. Such findings caution the generalized perspective of the urbanization of CNCDS for a population as evident from this study. This research concurs with the general findings of Wilks, et al.'s [15] and Bourne and Charles' [22] works that hypertension is a rural phenomenon in Jamaica, and that therefore disproves urbanization as a reason for CNCDS in all society, which is again similar to what was seen in Mexico [24]. However, urbanization can be ascribed as a cause for other CNCDS in Jamaica and this warns against wholesale labeling urbanization for cause of all CNCDS for all population and subpopulations, especially in developing countries as is noted by Unwin et al. [4]. Jamaica, which is a developing country, does not subscribe to the general observation made by Unwin et al. [4] and therefore caution should be exercised against such generalization.

The vulnerability of elderly people in Jamaica extends beyond CNCDS to the economics of their situations and how this further reduces their quality of life and life expectancy. Van et al. [43] has empirically

established that there is a statistical association between poverty and chronic illness, which was concurred with by WHO [1], and Bourne who expands this to general illness and poverty [44]. Using data for Jamaica, Bourne [44] finds a direct correlation between illness rate and poverty rate, which offers an explanation of the economics of health among people, especially those in the vulnerable groups such as elderly people, poor and females. For this research, 28 percent of those in the retirement aged cohort are below the poverty line compared to 16 percent of those in the working aged cohort. The reality of elderly people is that more live in poverty due to retirement, ill-health, chronic conditions and economics of survivability becomes even more intense and burdensome for them more than those in the working age population whom experience the same set of conditions as those in the retirement aged cohort. A noticeable and stark similarity in this study is between the mortality rate and poverty rates of the two cohorts. In 2008, 1.9 times more of those in the retirement aged cohort die compared to those in the working aged cohort and another reality is that 1.8 times more of those in the retirement aged cohort are in poverty compared to those in the working aged cohort. We can deduce from the present findings that there is direct association between poverty and mortality, poverty and ill-health, poverty and chronic conditions, and that poverty is eroding the quality of life of those in the retirement aged population substantially at a faster rate than that for those in the working aged population.

When Ferguson, et al. wrote that “Anecdotal reports suggest that the interventions are either inadequate or not well promoted and that significant progress has not yet been made in reversing the trends. Data from the Jamaica Health and Lifestyle Surveys seem to support this notion” [45] this can be explained and a plausible reason is that is not forwarded. Research is critical to understanding issues and when there is no empirical basis upon which policies are formulated; authority, common sense, belief and cultured positions do not offer enough information for effective policy formulation. Simply put, the information is lacking on the matters of CNCDS in Jamaica, and this is a part of the problem to effectively execute a policy to address this epidemic in the society. The present findings show that wholly designing programmes for CNCDS for the elderly population would not be effective as there are health disparities between those in the working aged population, which accounts for 60% of the population in 2007, and the retirement aged cohort, which comprises of 9.6% of the population. It is the unhealthy lifestyle practices in the working aged cohort that will transcend into prevalence of CNCDS in later life as is evident from this study. Policy initiatives to address CNCDS in Jamaica, must be disease, gender, age, socio-culturally, area of residence and research specific as these would target the root causes of the issues and not a comprehensive

approach that oftentimes hits and misses the target as is evident from the perspective of Ferguson et al. [45]. This research creates a profile of those with CNCDS, disaggregate the issues and can be the platform for initiatives that would yield more effective results in the fight against the high prevalence of chronic conditions in Jamaica. Using national cross-sectional probability data for 2002 and 2007 on Jamaica, Bourne et al. [46] indicate that 8 per 1,000 reported having CNCDS in 2002 which increased to 56 per 1,000 in 2007, which describes the CNCDS epidemic that silently is killing people in the 21st century. The changing face of CNCDS to include children in the last two decades is also noted in the United States [47, 48], which is describing an epidemic that extends beyond socio-geographical barriers. The urgency to address the matter should be a global issue, holding the specificity of the local environment within context and having a broader outlook on the matter that is supported by localized empirical studies in order to understand all the tenets before public health interventions can be effectively tailored to curb the new national realities.

CONCLUSION

Non-communicable conditions such as diabetes and hypertension are public health concerns in Jamaica, especially among female, the aged working cohort and elderly population. The reality is, at least 50 percent of all deaths are due to CNCDS, at least 56 percent of deaths due to CNCDS are women, at least 67 percent of all deaths are women 60+ years and between 54 and 65 percent of all deaths are elderly people, which is greater than that in many low-to middle income countries as well as the region of the Americas. This study highlights the erosion of the quality of life among the retired aged population due to poverty, area of residence, sedentary lifestyle, and other unhealthy behaviors including not seeking medical attention and purchasing medications when experiencing ill-health. Unfortunately, these conditions are not limited to adults but will have significant impact on the children and adolescents. As evident in the Americas and other developed and developing nations, these health conditions are public health and economic burdens as each country struggles to control the increases in these non-communicable diseases. Overall, it is imperative that age, gender, area of residence specific and culturally relevant policies be developed in collaboration with governmental and non-governmental organizations initiatives and programmes as well as in tandem with the efforts of health professionals to effectively address these health matters, without delay.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Preventing Chronic Diseases a vital investment. Geneva: WHO; 2005.

2. United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon. Global Strategy for Women's and Children's Health. New York: United Nations; 2010.
3. World Health Organization. Global Burden of Disease 2004 Update. Geneva: WHO; 2008.
4. Unwin N, Setel P, Rashid S, Mugusi F, Mbanja JC, Kitange H, Hayes L, Edwards R, Aspray T, Alberti KG: Noncommunicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa: where do they feature in the health research agenda? *Bull World Health Organ* 2001, 79(10):947-953.
5. Hospedales CJ, Samuels TA, Cummings R, Gollop G, Greene E. Raising the priority of chronic noncommunicable diseases in the Caribbean. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2011; 30(4):393-400.
6. Abdulkadri AO, Cunningham-Myrie C, Forrester T. Economic burden of diabetes and hypertension in CARICOM states. *Soc Econ Stud*. 2009; 58(3&4):175-97.
7. Van Agt HME, Stronks K, Mackenbach JP. Chronic illness and poverty in the Netherlands. *Eur J of Public Health*, 2000; 10:197-200.
8. Bourne PA. Impact of poverty, not seeking medical care, unemployment, inflation, self-reported illness, health insurance on mortality in Jamaica. *North Am J Med Sci*, 2009; 1:99-109.
9. Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Kengne AP. Chronic non-communicable diseases in Cameroon-burden, determinants and current policies. *Globalization and Health* 2011; 7:44.
10. Mathers CD, Boerma T, Ma Fat D. Global and regional causes of death. *Br Med Bull*. 2009; 92:7-32.
11. Statistical Institute of Jamaica. Demographic statistics, 1970-2012. Kingston: Statistical Institute of Jamaica, 1971-2013.
12. PAHO/CARICOM. Report of the Caribbean Commission on Health and Development. 2006.
13. Mayosi BM, Flisher AJ, Lalloo UG, Sitas F, Tollman SM, Bradshaw D. The burden of noncommunicable diseases in South Africa. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 93-447.
14. World Health Organization, Global Status Report on Non-communicable Diseases 2010. Geneva: 2011; 106.
15. Wilks R, Younger N, Tulloch-Reid M, McFarlane S, Francis D. Jamaica health and lifestyle survey 2007-8. Technical report. Kingston: Epidemiology Research Unit, Tropical Medicine Research Institute, University of the West Indies, Mona; 2008.
16. James J, Soyibo AK, Hurlock L, Gordon-Strachan G, Barton EN. Cardiovascular Risk Factors in an Eastern Caribbean Island: Prevalence of Non-communicable Chronic Diseases and Associated Lifestyle Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Morbidity and Mortality in the British Virgin Islands. *West Indian Med J* 2012; 61 (4): 429-436.
17. Glassman A, Gaziano TA, Buendia CPB, de Aguiar FCG. Confronting The Chronic Disease Burden in Latin America and Caribbean. *Health Affairs*, 2010: 2142-2148.
18. Smith SM, Mensah G: Population aging and implications for epidemic cardiovascular disease in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Ethn Dis* 2003, 13(2 Suppl 2):S77-S80.
19. Planning Institute of Jamaica (PIOJ), Statistical Institute of Jamaica. Jamaica Survey of Living Conditions, 1989-2009. Kingston: PIOJ, STATIN; 1990-2010.
20. Bourne, PA. Health of children in Jamaica: The new health realities. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2012; 66(7&8):175-188.
21. Shao R. Chronic diseases and health promotion. Geneva: World Health Organization; undated.

22. Bourne PA, Charles C.A.D. Hypertensive And Modeling Their Social Determinants Of Self-Rated Health Status In A Middle-Income Developing Nation. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2011; 5(1):01-08.
23. Niakara A, Nebie LV, Zagre NM, Ouedraogo NA, Megnigbeto AC. Knowledge of an urban population about arterial hypertension: prospective study carried out in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot* 2003; 96(3):219-222.
24. Wan He, Mark Muenchrath, Kowal P. *Shades of Gray: A Cross-Country Study of Health and Well-Being of the Older Populations in SAGE Countries, 2007-2010* (Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012).
25. World Health Organization, *Good Health Adds Life to Years: Global Brief for World Health Day 2012* (Geneva: WHO, 2012).
26. Yamada T, Chen CC, Chiu IM, Rizvi SW (2013) Non-Communicable Diseases in Developing Countries: Causes and Health Policy/Program Assessments. *J Trop Dis* 1: 117.
27. Bourne PA. An epidemiological transition of health conditions, and health status of the old-old-to-oldest-old in Jamaica: a comparative analysis. *North American Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2009; 1(4):211-219.
28. Bogue DJ. *Essays in human ecology, 4. The ecological impact of population aging*. Chicago: Social Development Center; 1999.
29. Gavrilov LA, Gavrilova NS. The reliability theory of aging and longevity. *J. theor. Biol* 2001; 213:527-545.
30. Gavrilov LA, Gavrilova NS. *The biology of life span: A Quantitative Approach*. New York: Harwood Academic Publisher; 1991.
31. Charlesworth B. *Evolution in Age-structured Populations*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
32. Medawar PB. Old age and natural death. *Mod. Q.* 1946; 2:30-49.
33. Carnes BA, Olshansky SJ. Evolutionary perspectives on human senescence. *Popuation. Development Review* 1993; 19: 793-806.
34. Phaswana-Mafuya N, Peltzer K, Chirinda W, Musekiwa A, Kose Z, Hoosain E, Davids A, Ramlagan S. Self-reported prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases and associated factors among older adults in South Africa. *Glob Health Action* 2013; 6: 20936.
35. Population Reference Bureau. *Today's Research on Aging*. 2012; 6:1-7.
36. Puska P. Non-communicable diseases—neglected diseases in global health work? *European Journal of Public Health*, 2011; 21: 269–270.
37. Hunter DJ, Reddy KS. Noncommunicable diseases. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369:1336-43.
38. Nielen MMJ, van Sijl AM, Peters MJL, Verheij RA, Schellevis FG, Nurmohamed MT. Cardiovascular disease prevalence in patients with inflammatory arthritis, diabetes mellitus and osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study in primary care. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorder* 2012; 13: 150.
39. Callender J. Lifestyle management in the hypertensive diabetic. *Cajanus*, 2000; 33:67-70.
40. Morrison E. Diabetes and hypertension: Twin trouble. *Cajanus*, 2000; 33:61-63.
41. Baldwin W, Kaneda T, Amato L, Nolan L. Noncommunicable diseases and youth: A critical window of opportunity for Latin America and the Caribbean. Washington: The Population Reference Bureau; 2013.
42. Yamada T, Chen CC, Chiu IM, Rizvi SW. Non-Communicable Diseases in Developing Countries: Causes and Health Policy/Program Assessments. *J Trop Dis* 2013; 1: 117.
43. Van Agt HME, Stronks K, Mackenbach JP. Chronic illness and poverty in the Netherlands. *Eur J of Public Health*, 2000; 10:197-200.
44. Bourne PA. Impact of poverty, not seeking medical care, unemployment, inflation, self-reported illness, health insurance on mortality in Jamaica. *North Am J Med Sci*, 2009; 1:99-109.
45. Ferguson TS, Tulloch-Reid MK, Cunningham-Myrie CA, Davidson-Sadler T, Copeland S, Lewis-Fuller E, Wilks RJ. Chronic disease in the Caribbean: strategies to respond to the public health challenge in the region. What can we learn from Jamaica's experience? *West Indian med.* 2011; 60: 397-411.
46. Bourne PA, McDaniel S, Williams MS, Francis C, Kerr-Campbell MD, Beckford OW. The changing faces of diabetes, hypertension and arthritis in a Caribbean population. *North Am J Med Sci* 2010; 2: 221-229.
47. Orchard TJ. The changing face of young-onset diabetes: Type 1 optimism mellowed by Type 2 concerns. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36: 3857-3859.
48. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Sorensen SW, Williamson DF. Lifetime risk for diabetes mellitus in the United States. *JAMA* 2003; 290:1884–1890.